



HIGHWAY NO SA4 NAME OF HIGHWAY FRANKLIN COUNTY HIGHGATE TOWN  
STRUCTURE NO PROJECT NO I 89-3(1) LOCATION OVER INTERSTATE

EXISTING STRUCTURE NONE

1 RATED LOADING OF EXISTING STRUCTURE  
2 TYPE OF EXISTING STRUCTURE  
3 UNDERCLEARANCE ELEVATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURE  
4 WHAT DISPOSITION SHOULD BE MADE OF EXISTING STRUCTURE COST OF REMOVAL  
5 SHOULD EXISTING STRUCTURE BE USED TO MAINTAIN TRAFFIC DURING CONSTRUCTION OF NEW STRUCTURE  
6 SHOULD NEW TEMPORARY STRUCTURE BE BUILT  
7 ORDINARY HIGH WATER SURFACE ELEV. AT EXISTING STRUCTURE WATERWAY TO ORDINARY H.W.  
8 EXTREME HIGH WATER AT EXISTING STRUCTURE WATERWAY TO EXTREME H.W.  
9 SPAN OF EXISTING BRIDGE UPSTREAM WATERWAY TO EXTREME H.W.  
10 SPAN OF EXISTING BRIDGE DOWNSTREAM WATERWAY TO EXTREME H.W.  
11 TYPE OF FOUNDATION UNDER EXISTING ABUTMENTS  
12 DOES ALL WATER AT FLOOD ELEVATION PASS THROUGH EXISTING STRUCTURE  
13 IF NOT AT WHAT ELEVATION IS RELIEF AFFORDED  
14 ADDITIONAL WATERWAY AREA PROVIDED

NEW STRUCTURE WE COMPOSITE 49.67, 57.64, 64.49

1 RECOMMENDED TYPE OF STRUCTURE  
2 RECOMMENDED CLEAR SPAN OR SPANS MEASURED PARALLEL TO NEW HIGHWAY 44.84, 49.84, 49.84  
MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES TO STREAM 43.09, 47.87, 57.50, 43.09  
3 ARE THERE OBJECTIONS TO A PIER IN THE STREAM, ANSWER YES OR NO  
4 ORDINARY HIGH WATER ELEVATION AT NEW STRUCTURE  
5 EXTREME HIGH WATER ELEVATION AT NEW STRUCTURE SOURCE OF INFORMATION  
6 IS ALL WATER INTENDED TO PASS THROUGH NEW STRUCTURE?  
7 DOES STREAM REACH ITS MAXIMUM HIGH WATER ELEVATION RAPIDLY? IS ORDINARY RISE RAPID?  
8 LOW WATER ELEVATION AT NEW STRUCTURE  
9 DRAINAGE AREA IN ACRES ABOVE STRUCTURE CHARACTER OF TERRAINE  
10 IS STREAM EVER DRY?  
11 VELOCITY OF STREAM AT HIGH WATER STAGE ESTIMATED DISCHARGE  
12 AREA FULL OPENING AREA BELOW ORDINARY H.W.  
13 CHARACTER OF SCOUR DRIFT ICE  
14 ESTIMATED DRAINAGE AREA ABOVE NATURAL OR ARTIFICIAL STORAGE  
15 VERTICAL CLEARANCE ABOVE FLOOD ELEVATION  
16 ARE SIDEWALKS REQUIRED, IF SO ON WHAT SIDE NO BOTH SIDES  
17 RECOMMENDED TYPE OF PAVEMENT BITUMINOUS CONCRETE  
18 TRAFFIC TO BE MAINTAINED UNDER ITEM NO. ONE OR TWO WAYS PROBABLE COST  
19 PROBABLE COST OF CLEARING AND GRUBBING STREAM CHANNEL AT STRUCTURE SITE  
20 SHOULD PROVISIONS BE MADE FOR PUBLIC UTILITIES? NO  
21 ESTIMATED ALLOWABLE LOAD ON FOUNDATIONS SHOULD PILES BE USED? YES EST. LOAD 150 TONS STEEL 126 PILES

FOUNDATION INFORMATION  
OBTAINED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES ONLY, AND THE STATE ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY WHATSOEVER FOR THE SUFFICIENCY OR ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION SHOWN. BOULDERS MAY BE ENCOUNTERED AT ANY PIER OR ABUTMENT LOCATION.  
FOR BORINGS SEE BORING SHEET

RICHMOND-HIGHGATE  
IM BPNT(9)  
SHEET 28 OF 30  
BRIDGE 99  
FOR INFORMATION ONLY

STATE OF VERMONT  
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS  
SA4-OVERPASS IN THE TOWNS OF  
SWANTON-HIGHGATE  
ROUTE NO I-89 EB STA NB 783+760  
NORTHFUND CONSTRUCTION  
PRELIMINARY INFORMATION SHEET  
SURVEYED BY W. J. ... CHECKED BY J. ... SCALE ...  
DRAWN BY J. ... IN CHARGE ... DATE ...  
PROJECT NO I 89-3(1) SHEET 28 OF 28

CORRECT 10/14/89 APPROVED  
BRIDGE ENGINEER CHIEF ENGINEER  
BR501